



Re: Any statement on the Court of Appeals decision

Eliza Kashinsky

Wed, Jul 19, 2023 at 1:54 PM

Good afternoon,

I saw your story today Re: this. I just want to write in with a quick clarification. The existing provisions in the Eugene Code that prevent development on lot that lack sufficient infrastructure already apply to Middle Housing. There would not be action on the part of the City needed to apply them to Middle Housing, since they already apply to all development, including Middle Housing. (Your paraphrase implies that these provisions don't currently apply to middle housing, which isn't accurate.... I think I might have sacrificed a little clarity in the quest for brevity.) Thank you!

Eliza Kashinsky

Sent from a mobile device without a proper keyboard... Please excuse typos.

On Jul 12, 2023, at 7:22 PM:

Good evening,

I am, of course, disappointed in the Court of Appeals decision. I believe that this will not ultimately require changes to the Middle Housing Code; one of the ways the Court of Appeals indicated that Eugene could achieve compliance with Goal 11 was to "adopt provisions similar to those in the model code that limit middle housing on lots that lack sufficient infrastructure to support it." Eugene wouldn't even need to adopt new provisions; provisions similar to those in the model code preventing development on lots that lack sufficient infrastructure already exist within Eugene's code for all development, not just middle housing. I am even more disappointed in Ted Coopman and Paul Conte, who appear to be grasping at any straw they can find to create confusion and delay, and thus prevent the creation of homes that Eugene so desperately needs.

Thank you,

Eliza Kashinsky